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## Gender Gaps in Voting Evident Across All 2016 U.S. Senate Races

Gender gaps in voting were widespread across U.S. Senate races in the 2016 elections, according to an analysis of Edison Research exit polls conducted by the Center for American Women and Politics (CAWP), a unit of the Eagleton Institute of Politics at Rutgers University. Gender gaps in voting, defined as measurable differences in the proportions of women and men who voted for the winning candidate, were evident in all of the 20 U.S. Senate races involving candidates of both parties where exit polls were conducted. Women were more likely than men to support the Democratic candidate by margins of 3 to 16 percentage points -- and less likely to support the Republican -- in each race. (See table below.)

In highly contested races in Missouri, Nevada, New Hampshire, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin, women and men preferred different candidates. In Missouri, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin, men's preferences prevailed and Republicans were victorious. In Nevada and New Hampshire, women's preferences won out and Democratic candidates were elected.

Susan J. Carroll, senior scholar at CAWP, observed, "The widespread evidence of gender differences in candidate preferences, even in an election as unusual and unpredictable as 2016, is a testament to the enduring nature of the gender gap."

An 11 percentage-point gender gap was also apparent in U.S. House races, with women voters more likely than men to favor Democratic candidates. Nationally, $54 \%$ of women, compared with $43 \%$ of men, voted for the Democratic congressional candidates in their districts; $44 \%$ of women, compared with $55 \%$ of men, voted for Republican U.S. House candidates.


#### Abstract

About CAWP The Center for American Women and Politics, a unit of the Eagleton Institute of Politics at Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, is a university-based research, education and public service center. Its mission is to promote greater knowledge and understanding about women's changing relationship to politics and government and to enhance women's influence and leadership in public life. CAWP is a leading authority in its field and a respected bridge between the academic and political worlds.


## 2016 Exit Poll Data: Vote in U.S. Senate Races

| State | Candidate | Part $\mathbf{y}$ | Gender Gap | Women | Men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AZ | McCain <br> Kirkpatrick | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | 4 pts. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 51 \% \\ 45 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & 38 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| CO | Glenn Bennet | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | 9 pts. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 41 \% \\ 56 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \% \\ & 47 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| FL | Rubio <br> Murphy | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{R} \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4 pts. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 50 \% \\ 47 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \% \\ & 41 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| GA | Isakson Barksdale | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | 16 pts. | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \% \\ & 48 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 64 \% \\ & 31 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| IL | Kirk <br> Duckworth | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{R} \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 8 pts. | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 37 \% \\ 59 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \% \\ & 51 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| IN | Young Bayh | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | 7 pts . | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 49 \% \\ 46 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \% \\ & 38 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| IA | Grassley Judge | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{R} \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 9 pts . | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 56 \% \\ 41 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \% \\ & 32 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| KY | Paul Gray | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | 12 pts. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 51 \% \\ 48 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \% \\ & 37 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| MO | Blunt <br> Kander | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | 9 pts. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 46 \% \\ 52 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & 40 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| NV | Heck <br> Cortez Masto | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | 9 pts. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 41 \% \\ 52 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & 43 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| NH | Ayotte Hassan | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | 11 pts . | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \% \\ & 55 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \% \\ & 41 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| NY | Long Schumer | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | 15 pts. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 22 \% \\ 77 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \% \\ & 62 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| NC | Burr Ross | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | 12 pts. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 46 \% \\ 51 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \% \\ & 38 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| OH | Portman Strickland | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | 10 pts. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 54 \% \\ 42 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \% \\ & 32 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| OR | Callahan Wyden | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{R} \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 8 pts. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 33 \% \\ 62 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 38 \% \\ & 54 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| PA | Toomey McGinty | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{R} \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 12 pts. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 43 \% \\ 54 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & 40 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| SC | Scott Dixon | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | 8 pts. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 57 \% \\ 41 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \% \\ & 33 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| UT | Lee Snow | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | 3 pts . | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 66 \% \\ 29 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \% \\ & 27 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| WA | Vance <br> Murray | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{I} \end{aligned}$ | 13 pts. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 33 \% \\ 65 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \% \\ & 52 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| WI | Johnson Feingold | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | 11 pts. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 45 \% \\ 52 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \% \\ & 41 \% \end{aligned}$ |

* The gender gap shown for each poll in the table is based on the responses for the winning candidate. Contest winners are in boldface.
** Exit polls were conducted in only 21 Senate races. California results are not shown because the state's open primary system produced two Democratic candidates in the general election.

